3

7

9

12

19

21

25

28

26

Case C06-07339 CW

Because the public interest favors filing all court documents in the public record, any party seeking to file a document under seal must demonstrate good cause to do so. This cannot be established simply by showing that the document is subject to a protective order, but rather must be supported by a sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the need to file each document under seal. See Local Rule 79-5(a). If good cause exists only to file portions of a particular document under seal, a redacted version of the document must be filed in the public record. Local Rule 79-5(c).

In the Young Decl., the KMH defendants seek the following relief:

- Redaction of portions of pages 202, line 3 and page 260, lines 2-3 of Exhibit 66 to 1. North Star's Motion for Summary Judgment, the transcript of the deposition of John Hommel, taken in this action.
- 2. Redaction of the entirety of Exhibit 71 to North Star's Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 3. Redaction of the entirety of Exhibit 73 to North Star's Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 4. Redaction of portions of page 12 of the North Star Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of its motion for summary judgment.

The KMH defendants have established that the information in these exhibits and the memorandum of points and authorities comprises sensitive financial and other confidential information, including information subject to the attorney-client privilege. They have demonstrated a compelling interest to justify filing such information under seal.

A "compelling interest" standard applies to documents filed in support of or opposition to a dispositive motion. Pintos v.Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 504 F.3d 792, 801-03 (9th Cir. 2007).

Document 161

Filed 07/02/2008 Page 3 of 3

Case 4:06-cv-07339-CW